

Docket No.: 4406-0012-2

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RECEIVED OCT 10 2003 TC 1700

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/492,452

Applicants: Takaaki INOUE Filing Date: January 27, 2000

For: AUTOMATED CHEMICAL SYNTHESIZER

Group Art Unit: 1764
Examiner: WACHTEL, A.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Masayasu Mori

Registration No. 47,301

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) H:\O\_DOCKET\4406-0012-2\SHORT CVR.DOC DOCKET NO: 4406-0012-2



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :

TAKAAKI INOUE : EXAMINER: WACHTEL, A

SERIAL NO: 09/492,452

FILED: JANUARY 27, 2000 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1764

FOR: AUTOMATED CHEMICAL

**SYNTHESIZER** 

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OCT 10 200

TC 1700

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated July 8, 2003, the following remarks traversing the outstanding grounds for rejection are presented:

## REMARKS

Favorable consideration of this application, in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-17 are presently pending in this application.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1-13, 16 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Sugarman et al.</u> (U.S. Patent 5,503,805); and Claims 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Sugarman et al.</u> in view of <u>Saneii</u> (U.S. Patent 4,746,490).

Briefly, Claim 1 is directed to an automated chemical synthesizer that includes a plurality of reaction vessels and an execution time calculator. The execution time calculator